

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/925,562	JANG, G. DAVID	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Paul B. Prebilic.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Benjamin Carlsen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 August 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None particularly on relevant prior art to Richter, Pinchasik, and Freitag*

Claims discussed:

51

Prior art documents discussed:

*Richter, Pinchasik and Freitag as discussed in the reasons for allowance.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed the amendments in at least two telephone conversations between July 16, 2007 and August 29, 2007. The final agreement was reached on August 29, 2007 with the filing of the amendment of that date.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)